



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/591,158	06/09/2000	Monica A. Maries	MEDO 5029 PUS	2781	
22045	7590 09/28/2004		EXAMINER		
	USHMAN P.C.		LIN, WE	LIN, WEN TAI ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1000 TOWN TWENTY-SI	CENTER ECOND FLOOR		ART UNIT		
SOUTHFIEL	D, MI 48075		2154		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
09/591,158	MARICS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Wen-Tai Lin	2154	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
TENIOD FOR INETER [Chican cities a) of b)]	
 a)	ee MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the approp fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The approprese under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Original (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	riate extension fice action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🖄 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) \boxtimes they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simple issues for appeal; and/or	olifying the
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	•
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed an canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	mendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: None.	
Claim(s) objected to: None.	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. Other:	
Wen-Tai Lin Examiner Art Unit: 2154	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added new claim languages in claims 1 and 22, inter alia, "... without requiring selection of the Internet addresses by the user" require new search and reconsideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguement is not persuasive, therefore the rejection stands.

Clen Jan L